(C)

കേരള സർക്കാർ Government of Kerala 2015



Regn. No. KERBIL/2012/45073 dated 5-9-2012 with RNI

Reg. No. KL/TV(N)/634/2015-17

കേരള ഗസററ് KERALA GAZETTE

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത് PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

	തിരുവനന്തപുരം,	2015 മേയ് 12 12th May 2015		١
വാല്യം 4 Vol. IV	ചൊവ്വ Thiruvananthapuram,	1190 caso 28 28th Medam 1190	നമ്പർ No.	19
·	Tuesday	1937 വൈശാഖം 22 22nd Vaisakha 1937	·	

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Skills Department Labour and Skills (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 332/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th March 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri. Agni Chacko, Vice President, Sri Ram City Union Finance Limited, C.C. No. 40/374, Jose Junction, 2nd Floor, Jose Annexe, M.G. Road, Ernakulam (2) Sri Rajagopal, State Head, Sri Ram City Union Finance Limited, C.C. No. 40/374, Jose Junction, 2nd Floor, Jose Annexe, M.G. Road, Ernakulam and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, New Generation Banks & Insurance Employees Federation (AITUC), AITUC Office, Kadappakada, Kollam-691 008 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the transfer of Sri Dinesh Kumar, Field Executive, and Rejith, T., Marketing Executive (Working in Kadappakada, Sri Ram City Union Finance Limited Branch) by the management of Sri Ram City Union Finance Limited is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to get?

(2)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 333/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th March 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri. Nasarudeen, Al-Ameen Manzil, TKMC P.O., Kuttichira, Kollam and the

workman of the above referred establishment Smt. Bindhu G. Pillai, Aksharalayam, Chelappalli, Kottukkal P.O., Anchal in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Bindhu G. Pillai, Clerk by the management (Shri. Nasarudeen, Proprietor, Al-Ameen Cashew Traders) is justifiable or not? If not, what relief she is entitled to get?

(3)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 334/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th March 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) the Managing Director, Aachi Masala Foods Private Limited, Plot No. 1926, 1 Block, 34 Street, Aiswarya Colony, Anna Nagar West, Chennai-600 040, (2) the Proprietor, Ganapathi Traders, 13/526, Aroora Buildings, N.H. Bypass Junction, Chandra Nagar, Palakkad and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Ramadhas, S/o. K. R. Viswanathan, Kolodu House, Koduvayoor, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Ramadhas, Sales Executive by the management of Aachi Masala Foods Private Limited, Anna Nagar West, Chennai is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to get? (4)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 335/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th March 2015.

80

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri N. G. Bahuleyan, Chief General Manager, Manappuram Finance Limited, Valappad P. O., Thrissur and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Manojan, K. V., S/o. Kunjambu, Pulikkunnu, Thimiri P. O., Via Cheruvathur, Kasaragod in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Sri Manojan, K. V. from service by the management of Manappuram Finance Limited is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(5)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 336/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th March 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Calicut Tile Company, Feroke P. O. and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Kozhikode Tile Workers Sangham (BMS) Vellodi Building, Kallayi Road, Kozhikode-673 002 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of Seniority to Sri T. Divakaran, T. No. 485 and to Sri M. Vasu, T. No. 515 by the management of Calicut Tile Company, Feroke is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to?

(6)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 337/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th March 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the General Manager, Kalyan Sarees, Kovilakathumpadam, Aswani Junction, Thrissur-680 022 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the President, Asankhaditha Mekhala Thozhilali Union (AMTU), Bimbino Building, Court Road, Kozhikode-1 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the transfer of (1) Padmini, S. K., (2) Mayadevi, P., (3) Rajani Dasan, (4) Devi Ravi, (5) Alphonsa, (6) Beena Sojan, workers of M/s. Kalyan Sarees, Thrissur by the management is legal and justifiable? If not, what is the remedy?

(7)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 356/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th March 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, M/s. Orchid Chemicals & Pharmaceuticals Limited, Orchid Towers-313, Valluvarkkottam High Road, Nunkambakkam, Chennai-600 034 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Kerala Medical & Sales Representative Association (CITU), B.T.R. Bhavan, Cemetery Junction, Kochi-682 018 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the denial of arrears of wages, bonus, field expenses and other benefits to the employees of M/s. Orchid Chemicals & Pharmaceuticals Limited, Chennai working in various branches in Kerala by its management is justifiable? If not, what are the benefits they are entitled to?

(8)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 361/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 19th March 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Kerala State Palmira Products Development & Workers Welfare Corporation Limited, Kelpalm House, Kumbamvila, Kottamada, Arayur P. O., Thiruvananthapuram-695 122 and the workmen of the above referred establishment (1) Sri K. V. Krishnanunni, Keezhalipurathu Veedu, Kavassery P. O., Alathur, Palakkad-678 543, (2) Smt. C. Vasantha, Parappuram Veedu, Chundakkad, Kavasserry P. O., Palakkad-678 543 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri K. V. Krishnanunni and Smt. C. Vasantha, employees of Kelpalm Facility Centre, Kallepully, Kavassery by its management is justifiable or not? If not, what relief they are entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

Madhu, K.,
Deputy Secretary to Government.